



Docket No.: 251602US2

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/821,843 Applicants: Masashi GOTO, et al.

Filing Date: April 12, 2004

For: FILM-FORMING METHOD, METHOD OF

MANUFACTURING SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE,

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING DISPLAY DEVICE, AND

DISPLAY DEVICE Group Art Unit: 2812 Examiner: GEYER, S. B.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Election of Species

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER) & NEUSTAPOT, P.C.

Gregory J. Majer

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Zachary S. Stern

Registration No. 54,719

DOCKET NO: 251602US2



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

MASASHI GOTO, ET AL. : EXAMINER: GEYER, S. B.

SERIAL NO: 10/821,843

FILED: APRIL 12, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2812

FOR: FILM-FORMING METHOD, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE, SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING DISPLAY DEVICE, AND DISPLAY DEVICE

ELECTION OF SPECIES

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Official Action dated February 15, 2006, Applicants elect with traverse Claims 1-18 of the present application, which read on the elected Species 1.

Applicants respectfully traverse the election of species requirement for the following reason.

MPEP § 803 states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding election of species requirement

Application No. 10/821,843 Reply to Office Action of February 15, 2006

on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, an action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Gregory J. Maier

Zachary S. Stern Registration No. 54,719

GJM:ZSS:dnf

I:\ATTY\ZS\25's\251\251602US\251602 ELEC RESP.DOC